UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,516	10/05/2003	Igor V. Touzov		2515
34185 IGOR V TOUZ	7590 06/27/200 OV	8	EXAM	IINER
212 CRESTONE DRIVE			PHAM, HOA Q	
CARY, NC 275	015		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/605,516	TOUZOV, IGOR Art Unit 2886	V.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa Q. Pham	2886	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Hoa Q. <i>Pham</i></u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Mr.Igor Touzov</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>11 June 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)∏ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: A telephone call was mace response already made to the Office action dated 12/7/07; assumed that no response to the Office action and the case (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTROL OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE WAS SIGN OF THE WAS SIG	the and left a message for Mr.1 however, applicant did not retain to be is abandoned. The ments which the examiner again the pay of the amendments that we have the company of the amendments that we have the last Office action has already of one month or thirty erview summary form, we have the company of	Touzov to check urn the phone can be could render the cou	if any all. It is er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS HIS
Attachment to a signed Office action	Examinor o dignature, il requii	Ju	